

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-3, 6, 8, 9, 14-22, 36-44, and 64-75 were presented for examination and were rejected.

Claims 1, 14, 64, and 71 have been amended to more precisely recite the features of the present invention.

The applicant respectfully requests reconsideration in light of the amendments and the following comments.

**35 U.S.C. 102 Rejection of Claims 1-3, 6, 8, 9, 14-22, 36-44, and 64-75**

Claims 1-3, 6, 8, 9, 14-22, 36-44, and 64-75 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by N. J. Beamish et al., U.S. Patent 6,694,143, (hereinafter "Beamish").

Claim 1, as amended, recites:

<p><b>1.</b> A method comprising:</p> <p>receiving a user input at a mobile telecommunications terminal, wherein <i>said user input is for executing a command that reads the value of a datum</i>; and</p> <p>determining whether to execute said command based on the geo-location of said mobile telecommunications terminal <i>and on said value</i>.</p> <p><i>(emphasis supplied)</i></p>
---

Nowhere does Beamish teach or suggest, alone or in combination with the other references, what claim 1 recites – namely receiving from the user of a mobile terminal a command that reads the value of a datum (e.g., John Smith's account balance, etc.), and determining whether to execute the command based on the terminal's geo-location and on the datum's value. The present invention as recited in claim 1 is advantageous in that it can be used, for example, to prevent a user from accessing John Smith's account balance from his or her mobile terminal unless the terminal is located inside the bank and the account balance is less than one million dollars.

Beamish teaches something entirely different – a local wireless communication system infrastructure that sends commands to terminals, such as a command that switches the terminal from ringing mode to vibrator mode, a command that powers down the terminal, and so forth. Because terminals will receive such commands only when they are

within range of the local wireless communication system infrastructure (*e.g.*, inside a concert hall, *etc.*), Beamish does in fact teach a terminal whose behavior is dependent on geo-location. However, Beamish mentions nothing about the user of a terminal inputting a command to read a datum – let alone determining whether to execute such a command based on the datum's value.

For this reason, the applicant respectfully submits that claim 1, as amended, overcomes the rejection.

Because claims 2, 3, 6, 8, and 9 depend on claim 1, the applicant respectfully submits that the rejection of these claims is also overcome.

Claim 14, as amended, recites:

**14.** A method comprising:  
receiving a user input at a mobile telecommunications terminal,  
wherein said user input is for requesting access to content; and  
determining a version of said content to access based on the geo-  
location of said mobile telecommunications terminal.  
*(emphasis supplied)*

Nowhere does Beamish teach or suggest, alone or in combination with the other references, what claim 14 recites – namely receiving a user request at a mobile terminal to access content, and determining what version of the content to transmit to the terminal based on the terminal's geo-location. The present invention is advantageous in that it can be used, for example, to provide a user with an edited version of a video clip when the user requests access to the video clip while in a church, and an unedited version of the video clip when the user requests access to the video clip while at home. Beamish, in contrast, does not mention anything about versions of content anywhere in the patent.

For this reason, the applicant respectfully submits that the claim 14, as amended, overcomes the rejection.

Because claims 15 through 22 depend on claim 14, the applicant respectfully submits that the rejection of these claims is also overcome.

Claim 36 recites:

**36.** A method comprising:  
transmitting from a mobile telecommunications terminal a request to access remote content; and  
receiving at said mobile telecommunications terminal a version of said remote content that is based on the geo-location of said mobile telecommunications terminal.  
*(emphasis supplied)*

For the same reason as for claim 14, the applicant respectfully submits that the rejection of claim 36 is traversed.

Because claims 37 through 44 depend on claim 36, the applicant respectfully submits that the rejection of these claims is also traversed.

Claim 64, as amended, recites:

**64.** A method comprising:  
receiving a user input at a mobile telecommunications terminal, wherein said user input is for *executing a command that updates the value of a datum*; and  
determining whether to execute said command based on the geo-location of said mobile telecommunications terminal *and on said value prior to updating*.  
*(emphasis supplied)*

For the same reason as for claim 1, the applicant respectfully submits that claim 64, as amended, overcomes the rejection.

Because claims 65 through 70 depend on claim 64, the applicant respectfully submits that the rejection of these claims is also overcome.

Claim 71, as amended, recites:

**71.** A method comprising:  
receiving a user input at a mobile telecommunications terminal, wherein said user input is for *executing a command that reads the value of a remote datum*; and  
determining whether to execute said command based on the geo-location of said mobile telecommunications terminal *and on the geo-location at which said remote datum is stored*.  
*(emphasis supplied)*

Nowhere does Beamish teach or suggest, alone or in combination with the other references, what claim 71 recites – namely receiving from the user of a mobile terminal a

command that reads the value of a datum that is stored remotely, and determining whether to execute the command based on the terminal's geo-location and on the geo-location at which the remote datum is stored. The present invention is advantageous in that it can be used, for example, to allow an employee at the San Francisco branch of XYZ Corp. to access data stored at the XYZ consumer division branch in New York, but to prevent the user from accessing any data stored at the XYZ defense systems division in Virginia. Beamish mentions nothing about the user of a terminal inputting a command to read a remote datum – let alone determining whether to execute such a command based on the geo-location at which the remote datum is stored.

For these reasons, the applicant respectfully submits that claim 71, as amended, overcomes the rejection.

Because claims 72 through 75 depend on claim 71, the applicant respectfully submits that the rejection of these claims is also overcome.

**Request for Reconsideration Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.111**

Having responded to each and every ground for objection and rejection in the last Office action, applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the instant application pursuant to 37 CFR 1.111 and request that the Examiner allow all of the pending claims and pass the application to issue.

If there are remaining issues, the applicants respectfully request that Examiner telephone the applicants' attorney so that those issues can be resolved as quickly as possible.

Respectfully,  
Doree Duncan Seligmann

By **/David M. Lazoff/**  
David M. Lazoff  
Reg. No. 42783  
732-687-7427

DeMont & Breyer, L.L.C.  
Suite 250  
100 Commons Way  
Holmdel, NJ 07733  
United States of America